## School Accountability Report Card School Year 2001-2002

| School Information | District Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School Name | Hill (Andrew P.) High | District Name | East Side Union High |
| Principal | Bruce Shimizu | Superintendent | J oe Coto |
| Street | 3200 Senter Road | Street | 830 N. Capitol Ave. |
| City, State, <br> Zip | San J ose, CA 95111-1332 | City, State, Zip | San J ose, CA 95133-1316 |
| Phone <br> Number | 408 347-4100 | Phone Number | 408 347-5000 |
| FAX Number | 408 347-4115 | FAX Number | 408 347-5045 |
| Web Site | www.andrewhill.org | Web Site | www.esuhsd.org |
| Email <br> Address | shimizub@ esuhsd.org | Email Address | guerinl@ esuhsd.org |
| CDS Code | 43-69427-4332995 | SARC Contact | Lorraine Guerin |

## School Description and Mission Statement

DESCRIPTION: To academi-cally prepare students for the rigorous demands of the 21st Century, Andrew P. Hill High School, "A California Distinguished School," offers students the following educational advantages: schoolwide internet access (World Wide Web), a 2,000 square foot clinic and two-story Science/Medical Health Professions complex, newly modernized and air conditioned classrooms, a F alcon Family Student S upport Team, a comprehensive student activities program, an outstanding teaching staff and a California Distinguished School Instructional Program...everything parents could want to prepare their child for a post high school career or college/university enrollment.

Although Andrew Hill was built in 1956, thanks to District Measure A funds, most of the school has been renovated. The classrooms and computer labs have been enhanced to meet today's technology standards. The state of the art Science/Medical/Health Professions complex provides students with an enriched learning environment that prepares them academically for college and exposes students in the Health/Medical Program to major occupational opportunities in the medical and health professions.

MISSION: All students who enter Andrew Hill High School will graduate with the ability to gain admission to and benefit from post-secondary education while acquiring an appreciation for people of all languages, cultures and creeds in an environment that supports ethical and moral responsibility to oneself and the society in which we live.

Expected Schoolwide Learning Results
Problem Solving

```
- Students will be able to identify, define, and solve problems using a variety of methods.
Communication
- Students will be able to communicate ideas and concepts in oral and written English.
Basic Knowledge
-Students will demonstrate competency in all curricular areas.
-Students will be able to use a variety of technologies as tools for learning and working.
- Students will use organizational skills to produce a finished product.
Socialization and Direction
Students will be able to work individually and cooperatively.
-Students will respect diversity.
- Students will be active members in their community.
- Students will explore future career, education, and family opportunities.
P ersonal Traits
Students will explore ethical decision-making.
- Students will be aware of action and consequence.
-Students will demonstrate personal responsibility, goal setting and self-discipline.
- Students will be aware of healthy life habits.
```


## Opportunities for Parental Involvement

| Contact Person Name | Ozelle Oshiro | Contact Person Phone Number | 408 347-4288 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^0]Andrew Hill has had a significant number of parent support activities during the 2001-2002 school year. The following is a brief summary of these activities. Many are ongoing and others are events specifically designated for Andrew Hill parents:

Guidance Department - Parental services were provided on an ongoing basis. These included, but were not limited to, (1) individual parent conferences, (2) assistance to parents in evaluating student academic and testing performances, (3) discussions with parents on behavior and attendance issues and (4) support to parents and students on career counseling and referrals to schools, colleges and universities. Of significant note were the Vietnamese Parent Conferences held in October 2001, February 2002 and March 2002. These conference were attended by a total of 357 parents and students and included topics such as the role of parents in their children's education, parenting and academic coaching, reading programs, J ose Valdes Math Institute, the District Summer School Program, SAT 9 Testing, and Parent Association Activities.

Student Support Services - The focal point of parental services were a series of weekly meetings for Spanish speaking parents held from October 2001 through May 2002. These meetings averaged an attendance of 12 parents and involved discussions of school interests to the parents who attended. These included, but were not limited to student safety and welfare issues, academic and behavior issues, school policies and procedures and college planning. Many Andrew Hill administrators and staff personnel were invited and served as keynote speakers.

Administration and Administration Support Staff - The Back to School Night was a major parental support program providing parents with access to the teachers. International Night provided social and cultural interaction support to parents. The Honors Night provided parents with self - empowerment, social esteem and a recognition of their success in supporting their student's achievement and academic growth. There were periodic meetings of Vietnamese parents facilitated by Mr. Minh Duc Chau. These meeting were tailored to the specific academic, social and cultural needs of Andrew Hill Vietnamese
families. There were also individual parent conferences conducted by the Student Advisors and Community Liaisons. In additional, there were structures that included parents as partners such as the School Site Council, District Advisory Council representation and the Principal's Newsletter. Of noteworthy were the parent education classes conducted at the Andrew Hill school site by the Parent Institute for Quality Education (PIQE). These were a series of classes taught over an eight week period that provided training to parents in topics such as Adolescence, Growth and Family, Building Relationship with Adolescents, Positive Communications and Self Esteem, Obstacles that Hinder Success in School, How the School System Works and The Road to the University. Seventy (70) parents completed the PIQE program.

## I. Demographic Information

Student Enrollment, by Grade Level

| Grade Level | Enrollment |
| :--- | :---: |
| Grade 9 | 509 |
| Grade 10 | 498 |
| Grade 11 | 467 |
| Grade 12 | 514 |
| Ungraded Secondary |  |
| Total | 1988 |

Student Enrollment, by Ethnic Group
The percentage of students is the number of students in a racial/ethnic category divided by the school's most recent California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS) total enrollment.

| Racial/Ethnic Category | Number <br> of <br> Students | Percentage <br> of <br> Students | Racial/Ethnic <br> Category | Number <br> of <br> otudents | Percentage <br> of <br> Students |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| African-American | 102 | 5.1 | Hispanic or Latino | 1,025 | 51.6 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 5 | 0.3 | Pacific Islander | 9 | 0.5 |
| Asian-American | 623 | 31.3 | White (Not Hispanic) | 123 | 6.2 |
| Filipino-American | 100 | 5.0 | Other | 1 | 0.1 |

## II. School Safety and Climate for Learning

## School Safety Plan

| Date of Last <br> Review/Update | August 2001 | Date Last Discussed with <br> Staff | September 2001 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Reviewed and updated each year in August and reviewed with staff at the beginning of each school year.

## School Programs and Practices that Promote a Positive Learning Environment

The campus operates as a closed facility during the school day under regulations adopted by the Board of Trustees, S tudents are not permitted to leave school except by permission of their parent, and outsiders are not permitted on campus unless they heave proper business with the school. This practice ensures optimum opportunity for learning without concern for outs ide interference. When violations of school rules and policies occur, those involved are dealt with fairly and firmly, and appropriate discipline or legal action is taken. The school has in place a school safety plan (Andrew Hill Action Plan) which brings together the school resources (liaisons, advisors, MST service providers), city and county services (police and probation) and community resources, Asian Americans for Community Involvement (AACI) to address school and community safety issues and concerns. School and community safety is also the focus of our Healthy Start Programs. The school received a Community Police Partnership Grant to add extra support and activities for students.

## Suspensions and Expulsions

The number of suspensions and expulsions is the total number of incidents that result in a suspension or expulsion. The rate of suspensions and expulsions is the total number of incidents divided by the school's California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS) total enrollment for the given year. In unified school districts, a comparison between a particular type of school (elementary, middle, high) and the district average may be misleading. Schools have the option of comparing their data with the district-wide average for the same type of school.

|  | School |  |  | District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
|  | 206 | 147 | 117 | 2549 | 2101 | 2109 |
| Suspensions (rate) | $9.6 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Expulsions (number) | 5 | 2 | 5 | 74 | 31 | 31 |
| Expulsions (rate) | $.2 \%$ | $.1 \%$ | $.25 \%$ | $.3 \%$ | $.1 \%$ | $.1 \%$ |

## School Facilities

Andrew Hill is one of the older schools in East Side Union High School District, having been built over a span of years from 1956 through the early 60's. The facility is well maintained by a site-based custodial staff as well as specialized personnel through the district. Portable classrooms have been added to permit increased enrollment and facilities have been renovated to accommodate specialized curriculum needs. Major renovation of the school is continuing over the next few years to upgrade classrooms relative to today's curriculum and to modernize specialized facilities to enhance their use by students and the community. The 400, 300 and 200 wings have been completely modernized with new electrical, internet wiring (8 lines per classroom), coaxial cabling, new heating and ventilation systems, and a student support and guidance facility with offices for all of the on-site service providers. The modernization of the 400, 300 and 200 halls also resulted in additional department office and storage space and space for three computer lab facilities.

The new science complex provides Andrew Hill with a 100 seat college style lecture facility, a 2,000
square foot health clinic and laboratory and 9 modernized classrooms. The Boys' and Girls' locker room facilities have undergone a face lift including new lockers, new heating and air conditioning, new lavatory facilities, and new paint.

The new Parent and Staff Productivity Center which also houses the Reproduction Center is open and operational. We have added a training center in this facility that allows staff to be trained on site, therefore, providing more access to computers and software

## III. Academic Data

## Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR)

Through the California Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program, students in grades 2-11 are tested annually in various subject areas. Currently, the STAR program includes California Standards Tests (CST) in English Language Arts and Mathematics in grades 2-11, and Science and History-Social Science in grades 9-11; and the Stanford Achievement Test, Ninth Edition (Stanford 9), which tests Reading, Language, Mathematics (grades 2-11), S pelling (grades 2-8), and Science and History-Social Science (grades 9-11 only). Note: To protect student privacy, scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less.

## California Standards Tests (CST)

The California Standards Tests show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. Student scores are reported as performance levels. The five performance levels are Advanced (exceeds state standards), Proficient (meets standards), Basic (approaching standards), Below Basic (below standards), and Far Below Basic (well below standards). Students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level have met state standards in that content area. Note: To protect student privacy, scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less.

CST - English Language Arts
Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | --- | 20 | 25 | -- | 27 | 32 | -- | 28 | 33 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | --- | 17 | 22 | -- | 27 | 30 | --- | 31 | 33 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | --- | 19 | 18 | -- | 24 | 28 | --- | 29 | 31 |

## CST - Mathematics

Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| 9 | --- | -- | 11 | -- | --- | 17 | --- | --- | 21 |
| 10 | --- | -- | 10 | -- | -- | 15 | --- | --- | 21 |


| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | --- | --- | 6 | -- | -- | 13 | -- | -- | 18 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## CST - Science

Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| 9 | --- | -- | 7 | -- | --- | 7 | --- | --- | 22 |
| 10 | --- | --- | 28 | -- | -- | 22 | --- | -- | 26 |
| 11 | --- | -- | 18 | --- | -- | 18 | --- | -- | 25 |

CST - History/Social Science
Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | --- | -- | 17 | --- | --- | 22 | --- | --- | 24 |
| 10 | --- | --- | 18 | --- | --- | 19 | --- | --- | 24 |
| 11 | --- | --- | 19 | --- | --- | 23 | --- | --- | 31 |

CST - Subgroups - English Language Arts
Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grad <br> $\mathbf{e}$ <br> Level | Male | Femal <br> $\mathbf{e}$ | English <br> Learners | Not-English <br> Learners | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Not <br> Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Migrant <br> Education <br> Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 18 | 31 | 4 | 40 | 26 | 26 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 19 | 24 | 1 |  | 16 | 27 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 15 | 22 | 2 |  | 13 | 22 |  |

## CST - Subgroups - Mathematics

Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grad <br> e | Male | Femal <br> e | English <br> Learners | Not-English <br> Learners | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Not <br> Socioeconomically | Migrant <br> Education |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Level |  |  |  |  |  | Disadvantaged | Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 9 | 13 | 5 | 13 | 9 | 14 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 10 | 9 | 9 |  | 7 | 14 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 4 | 8 | 9 |  | 5 | 6 |  |

CST - Subgroups - Science
Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grad <br> e <br> Level | Male | Femal <br> $\mathbf{e}$ | English <br> Learners | Not-English <br> Learners | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Not <br> Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Migrant <br> Education <br> Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 15 | 18 | 4 | 25 | 16 | 19 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 21 | 15 | 1 |  | 14 | 21 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 18 | 21 | 6 |  | 19 | 20 |  |

CST - Subgroups - History/Social Science
Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grad <br> $\mathbf{e}$ <br> Level | Male | Femal <br> $\mathbf{e}$ | English <br> Learners | Not-English <br> Learners | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Not <br> Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Migrant <br> Education <br> Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 15 | 18 | 4 | 25 | 16 | 19 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 21 | 15 | 1 |  | 14 | 21 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 18 | 21 | 6 |  | 19 | 20 |  |

CST - Racial/Ethnic Groups - English Language Arts
Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | African- <br> American | American <br> Indian or <br> Alaska <br> Native | Asian- <br> American | Filipino- <br> American | Hispanic <br> or Latino | Pacific <br> Islander | White <br> (not <br> Hispanic) | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 21 |  | 39 | 36 | 15 |  | 35 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 13 |  | 39 | 35 | 10 |  | 21 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 18 |  | 26 | 67 | 9 |  | 24 |  |

CST - Racial/Ethnic Groups - Mathematics
Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade Level | AfricanAmerican | American Indian or Alaska Native | AsianAmerican | FilipinoAmerican | Hispanic or Latino | Pacific Islander | White (not Hispanic) | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 7 |  | 24 | 16 | 1 |  | 6 |  |
| 10 |  |  | 27 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  | 14 |  | 1 |  |  |  |

## CST - Racial/Ethnic Groups - Science

Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | African- <br> American | American <br> Indian or <br> Alaska <br> Native | Asian- <br> American | Filipino- <br> American | Hispanic <br> or Latino | Pacific <br> Islander | White <br> (not <br> Hispanic) | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  | 50 | 33 | 17 |  | 33 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ |  |  | 30 |  | 6 |  |  |  |

CST - Racial/Ethnic Groups - History/Social Science
Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | African- <br> American | American <br> Indian or <br> Alaska <br> Native | Asian- <br> American | Filipino- <br> American | Hispanic <br> or Latino | Pacific <br> Islander | White <br> (not <br> Hispanic) | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 5 |  | 25 | 24 | 11 |  | 24 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 11 |  | 33 | 24 | 9 |  | 12 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 21 |  | 30 | 50 | 10 |  | 16 |  |

## Stanford 9 (SAT 9)

Reading and mathematics results from the Stanford 9 test are reported for each grade level as the percentage of tested students scoring at or above the 50th percentile (the national average). S chool results are compared to results at the district and state levels. Note: To protect student privacy, scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less.

## SAT 9 - Reading

Percentage of students scoring at or above the 50th percentile

| Grade Level | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| 9 | 17 | 17 | 22 | 30 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 35 | 34 |
| 10 | 15 | 15 | 21 | 26 | 28 | 31 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| 11 | 21 | 18 | 19 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 36 | 37 | 37 |

SAT 9 - Mathematics
Percentage of students scoring at or above the 50th percentile

| Grade Level | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| 9 | 46 | 47 | 49 | 57 | 56 | 60 | 51 | 51 | 52 |
| 10 | 40 | 37 | 43 | 46 | 47 | 50 | 46 | 45 | 46 |
| 11 | 42 | 42 | 40 | 48 | 47 | 48 | 47 | 46 | 47 |

SAT 9 - Subgroups - Reading
Percentage of students scoring at or above the 50th percentile

| Grade <br> Level | Male | Female | English <br> Learners | Not- <br> English <br> Learners | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Not <br> Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Migrant <br> Education <br> Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 15 | 28 | 2 | 34 | 23 | 21 | 40 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 20 | 23 | 3 | 30 | 20 | 23 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 17 | 21 | 3 | 26 | 15 | 22 |  |

SAT 9 - Subgroups - Mathematics
Percentage of students scoring at or above the 50th percentile

| Grade <br> Level | Male | Female | English <br> Learners | Not- <br> English <br> Learners | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Not <br> Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Migrant <br> Education <br> Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 49 | 49 | 31 | 61 | 50 | 48 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 43 | 42 | 22 | 54 | 43 | 44 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 41 | 39 | 27 | 47 | 41 | 39 |  |

SAT 9 - Racial/Ethnic Groups - Reading
Percentage of students scoring at or above the 50th percentile

| Grade <br> Level | African- <br> AmericanAmerican <br> Indian or <br> Alaska <br> Native | Asian- <br> American | Filipino- <br> American | Hispanic <br> or Latino | Pacific <br> Islander | White <br> (not <br> Hispanic) | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 26 |  | 30 | 24 | 15 |  | 39 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 6 |  | 37 | 24 | 12 |  | 26 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 18 |  | 28 | 62 | 10 |  | 23 |  |

SAT 9 - Racial/Ethnic Groups - Mathematics
Percentage of students scoring at or above the 50th percentile

| Grade <br> Level | African- <br> American | American <br> Indian or <br> Alaska <br> Native | Asian- <br> American | Filipino- <br> American | Hispanic <br> or Latino | Pacific <br> Islander | White <br> (not <br> Hispanic) | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 25 |  | 76 | 68 | 33 |  | 55 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 22 |  | 76 | 60 | 23 |  | 35 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 21 |  | 61 | 67 | 27 |  | 48 |  |

## California Fitness Test

Percentage of students meeting fitness standards (scoring in the healthy fitness zone on all six fitness standards)
Note: To protect student privacy, scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less.

| Grade <br> Level | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Female | Male | Total | Female | Male | Total | Female | Male |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22.2 | 23.4 | 21.5 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25.9 | 27.3 | 25.0 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 41.9 | 46.5 | 36.9 | 23.4 | 20.2 | 27.0 | 22.7 | 21.0 | 24.6 |

## Academic Performance Index (API)

The Academic Performance Index (API) is a score on a scale of 200 to 1000 that annually measures the academic performance and progress of individual schools in California. On an interim basis, the state has set 800 as the API score that schools should strive to meet.
Growth Targets: The annual growth target for a school is $5 \%$ of the distance between its base API and 800. The growth target for a school at or above 800 is to remain at or above 800 . Actual growth is the number of API points a school gained between its base and growth years. Schools that reach their annual targets are eligible for monetary awards. Schools that do not meet their targets and have a statewide API rank of one to five are eligible to participate in the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP), which provides resources to schools to improve their academic achievement.
Subgroup APIs and Targets: In addition to a whole-school API, schools also receive API scores for
each numerically significant racial/ethnic and socioeconomically disadvantaged subgroup in the school. Growth targets, equal to 80 percent of the school's target, are also set for each of the subgroups. Each subgroup must also meet its target for the school to be identified as having met its target.
Percentage Tested: In order to be eligible for awards, elementary and middle schools must have at least 95\% of their students in grades 2-8 tested in STAR. High schools must have at least $90 \%$ of their students in grades 9-11 tested.
Statewide Rank: Schools receiving an API score are ranked in ten categories of equal size (deciles) from one (lowest) to ten (highest), according to type of school (elementary, middle, or high school).
Similar Schools Rank: This is a comparison of each school with 100 other schools with similar demographic characteristics. E ach set of 100 schools is ranked by API score from one (lowest) to ten (highest) to indicate how well the school performed compared to schools most like it.

API criteria are subject to change as new legislation is enacted into law. More detailed and current information about the API and public school accountability in California can be found at the California Department of Education Web site or by speaking with the school principal

School Wide API

| API Base Data |  |  | API Growth Data |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  | From <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ <br> to 2000 | From <br> 2000 <br> to 2001 | From <br> 2001 <br> to 2002 |
| Percentage Tested | 99 | 93 | 95 | Percentage Tested | 93 | 95 | 97 |
| API Base Score | 552 | 548 | 562 | API Growth Score | 547 | 550 | 577 |
| Growth Target | 12 | 13 | 12 | Actual Growth | -5 | 2 | 15 |
| Statewide Rank | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Similar Schools Rank | 5 | 4 | 6 |  |  |  |  |

API Subgroups - Racial/Ethnic Groups

| API Base Data |  |  | API Growth Data |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |  | From <br> 1999 <br> to 2000 | From <br> 2000 <br> to 2001 | From <br> 2001 <br> to 2002 |
| African-American |  |  | African-American |  |  |  |  |
| API Base Score |  |  |  | API Growth Score |  |  |  |
| Growth Target |  |  |  | Actual Growth |  |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native |  | American Indian or Alaska Native |  |  |  |  |  |
| API Base Score |  |  |  | API Growth Score |  |  |  |
| Growth Target |  |  |  | Actual Growth |  |  |  |


| Asian-American |  |  |  | Asian-American |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| API Base Score | 627 | 625 | 641 | API Growth Score | 621 | 630 | 685 |
| Growth Target | 10 | 10 | 10 | Actual Growth | -6 | 5 | 44 |
| Filipino-American |  |  |  | Filipino-American |  |  |  |
| API Base Score |  |  |  | API Growth Score |  |  |  |
| Growth Target |  |  |  | Actual Growth |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino |  |  |  | Hispanic or Latino |  |  |  |
| API Base Score | 469 | 468 | 480 | API Growth Score | 459 | 465 | 496 |
| Growth Target | 10 | 10 | 10 | Actual Growth | -10 | -3 | 16 |
| Pacific Islander |  |  |  | Pacific Islander |  |  |  |
| API Base Score |  |  |  | API Growth Score |  |  |  |
| Growth Target |  |  |  | Actual Growth |  |  |  |
| White (Not Hispanic) |  |  |  | White (Not Hispanic) |  |  |  |
| API Base Score |  |  |  | API Growth Score |  |  |  |
| Growth Target |  |  |  | Actual Growth |  |  |  |

API Subgroups - Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

| API Base Data |  |  | API Growth Data |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  | From <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ <br> to 2000 | From <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ <br> to 2001 | From <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ <br> to 2002 |
| API Base Score | 473 | 517 | 531 | API Growth Score | 516 | 517 | 560 |
| Growth Target | 10 | 10 | 10 | Actual Growth | 43 | 0 | 29 |

API-Based Awards and Intervention Programs
California program data are based on API growth data from the previous academic year.
***The II/USP Program was not funded for the year 2002.

| California Programs |  |  |  | Federal Programs |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |  |
| Eligible for Governor's | NO | NO | N/R | Recognition for |  |  |  |  |


| Performance Award |  |  |  | Achievement (Title 1) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eligible for II/USP | YES | YES | $* * *$ | Identified for Program <br> Improvement (Title 1) |  |  |  |
| Applied for II/USP \$ | YES | YES | $* * *$ | Exited Title 1 Program <br> Improvement |  |  |  |
| Received II/USP \$ | YES | YES | $* * *$ |  |  |  |  |

## IV. School Completion (Secondary Schools)

## California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE)

Beginning with the graduating class of 2004, students in California public schools will have to pass the California High School Exit Exam to receive a high school diploma. The School Accountability Report Card for that year will report the percentage of students completing grade 12 who successfully complete the California High School Exit Exam.

These data are not required to be reported until 2004 when they can be reported for the entire potential graduating class. When implemented, the data shall be disaggregated by special education status, English language learners, socioeconomic status, gender and ethnic group.

## Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

Data reported regarding progress over the most recent three-year period toward reducing dropout rates include: grade 9-12 enrollment, the number of dropouts, and the one-year dropout rate listed in the California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS). The formula for the one-year dropout rate is (Grades 9-12 Dropouts/Grades 9-12 Enrollment) multiplied by 100. Graduation rate data will be reported after the California State Board of Education approves a graduation rate formula.

|  | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| Enrollment (9-12) | 2189 | 2154 | 2099 | 24259 | 24577 | 24282 | 165903 <br> 0 | 170349 <br> 2 | 173557 <br> 6 |
| Number of <br> Dropouts | 102 | 44 | 44 | 1098 | 840 | 601 | 46470 | 47282 | 47899 |
| Dropout Rate | 4.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 |

## V. Class Size

## Average Teaching Load and Teaching Load Distribution

Data reported are the average class size and the number of classrooms for each range of students, by subject area, as reported by CBEDS.

| Subject | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. | $1-22$ | $23-32$ | $33+$ | Avg. | $1-22$ | $23-32$ | $33+$ | Avg. | 1-22 | $23-32$ | $33+$ |


| English | 22.87 | 49 | 47 | 1 | 22.90 | 39 | 55 | 0 | 23.18 | 39 | 44 | 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics | 25.65 | 20 | 49 | 3 | 25.21 | 30 | 41 | 6 | 25.49 | 18 | 44 | 1 |
| Science | 24.58 | 19 | 47 | 1 | 25.93 | 16 | 43 | 2 | 26.67 | 12 | 32 | 2 |
| Social Science | 28.65 | 5 | 25 | 22 | 27.92 | 9 | 36 | 6 | 26.90 | 12 | 37 | 2 |

## VI. Teacher and Staff Information

## Teacher Credential Information

Part-time teachers are counted as ' 1 '. If a teacher works at two schools, he/she is only counted at one school. Data are not available for teachers with a full credential and teaching outside his/her subject area.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of Teachers | 99 | 104 | 97 |
| Full Credential <br> (full credential and teaching in subject area) | 83 | 75 | 81 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area <br> (full credential but teaching outside subject area) |  |  |  |
| Emergency Credential <br> (includes District Internship, University Internship, Pre-Interns and E mergency <br> Permits) | 22 | 33 | 22 |
| Teachers with Waivers <br> (does not have credential and does not qualify for an Emergency Permit) | 5 | 4 | 2 |

Teacher Evaluations

All teachers and staff are regularly evaluated. Classified staff members are evaluated by their immediate supervisors and certificated staff members are evaluated by the principal and associate principals. F ormal written evaluations are required for permanent teachers every other year. Probationary and temporary teachers are evaluated annually. The principal, who is evaluated by the superintendent, evaluates the performance of the associate principals

## Substitute Teachers

The East Side Union High School District has developed a large pool of qualified substitute teachers. When teachers are absent for illness or personal emergencies or need to participate in special professional training activities, substitute teachers are sent to cover classes.

However, to maintain the quality of the instructional program, every effort is made to minimize teacher absences.

## Counselors and Other Support Staff

Data reported are in units of full-time equivalents (FTE). One FTE is defined as a staff person who is
working $100 \%$ full time. Two staff persons working $50 \%$ of full time also equals one FTE.

| Title | FTE |
| :--- | :---: |
| Counselor | 6 |
| Librarian | 1 |
| Psychologist | 1 |
| Social Worker | $*$ |
| Nurse | $* *$ |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist |  |
| Resource Specialist (non-teaching) |  |
| Other | 2 |

* Andrew Hill has a social worker from the county on the campus to assist students and families in need.
** There are two nurses in the district who serve all schools.


## Academic Counselors

Data reported are in units of full-time equivalents (FTE). One FTE is defined as a staff person who is working $100 \%$ of full time. Two staff persons working $50 \%$ of full time also equals one FTE. The ratio of pupils per academic counselor is enrollment as reported in the most recent California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS) data collection divided by the number of academic counselors.

| Number of Academic <br> Counselors (FTE) | Ratio of Pupils per <br> Academic Counselor |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 331.33 |

## VII. Curriculum and Instruction

## School Instruction and Leadership

Andrew Hill, with the support of state and federal funds (SB 1882, Title I, EIA, GATE, Perkins, E isenhower, IIUSP) offers a program of staff development activities designed to enhance the skills of both certificated and classified staff in working effectively with students.

The emphasis in the past three years has been on the integration of technology into the instructional programs, the development and implementation of Graduation Competencies ( O ral and Written Communication and Service Learning), the integration of curriculum and the development of authentic performance based assessments (including portfolios). Additional specific trainings have been and are being offered in Bilingual and Sheltered certification, Advanced Placement, Integrated Science (FAST), Integrated Mathematics and Equity 2000. Specific curriculum projects have included Puente, AVID (Advancement Via Individual Determination), Medical Office Management, Language Arts 3/9 literature based writing program, Army ROTC, and our $2+2$ Tech program. The addition of a full-time Staff Development Coordinator has accelerated and expand staff development activities.

## Professional Development

Andrew Hill High provides for the secondary educational needs of an ethnically and socioeconomically diverse community. The school has a history of success, both in academic and co-curricular programs. The school has taken a progressive leadership role in the use and development of innovative curriculum change. This is exemplified by the introduction of the standards instruction in mathematics and science, the Medical Health Professions Magnet program, the J ose Valdes Summer Mathematics Institute, the Avid, Puente, and Army ROTC curriculum programs, and the development of Graduation Competencies. The Student Assistance Program and Multi Service Team programs serve as district models for the delivery and integration of student support services.

## Quality and Currency of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

All students are provided textbooks for courses which require them with the average cost of a new textbook exceeding $\$ 55.00$ to $\$ 60.00$. Students who have five academic classes may have texts and supplementary books with a total value in excess of $\$ 275$.

The approval and adoption of texts is an ongoing process facilitated through the Instructional Policies Committee which meets monthly. New approved texts are phased into the schools over several years to replace outdated texts. Supplementary materials are also provided through Title One, GATE, Perkins, and EIA funding. Of specific and immediate need are texts and materials for bilingual and sheltered core classes.

Textbook losses continue to be a serious district problem that students, parents and teachers must address every year.

## Instructional Minutes

The California Education Code establishes the required number of instructional minutes per year for each grade. Data reported compares the number of instructional minutes offered at the school level to the state requirement for each grade.

| Grade <br> Level | Instructional Minutes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Offered | State Requirement |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 , 5 1 0}$ | 64,800 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 , 5 1 0}$ | 64,800 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 , 5 1 0}$ | 64,800 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 , 5 1 0}$ | 64,800 |

Total Number of Minimum Days

## VIII. Postsecondary Preparation (Secondary Schools)

## Advanced Placement/International Baccalaureate Courses Offered

The Advanced Placement (AP) and International Baccalaureate (IB) programs give students an
opportunity to take college-level courses and exams while still in high school. The table below shows the number of classes offered and the enrollment in various AP and IB classes. The data for Fine and Performing Arts includes AP Art and AP Music, and the data for Social Science include IB Humanities.

| Subject | Number of Courses | Number of Classes | Enrollment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fine and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Computer Science | 1 | 1 | 26 |
| English |  |  |  |
| Foreign Language | 3 | 6 | 149 |
| Mathematics | 2 | 4 | 114 |
| Science | 3 | 6 | 140 |
| Social Science |  |  |  |

Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for University of California (UC) and California State University (CSU) Admission (Grades 9-12)
The percentage of pupils enrolled in courses required for UC and/or CSU admission is calculated by dividing the total number of pupils in courses required for UC and/or UC admission (duplicated count) by the total number of pupils in all courses (also a duplicated count) for the most recent year.

| Number of Pupils <br> Enrolled in all Courses | Number of Pupils Enrolled <br> In Courses Required <br> For UC and/or CSU Admission | Percentage of Pupils Enrolled <br> In Courses Required <br> For UC and/or CSU Admission |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7508 | 4990 | 66.5 |

Graduates Who Have Passed Courses Required for University of California (UC) and California State University (CSU) Admission
The percentage of graduates is the number of graduates who have passed course requirements for UC and/or CSU admission divided by the school's California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS) total graduates for the most recent year.

| Number of <br> Graduates | Number of Graduates <br> Who Have Passed Course <br> Requirements <br> For UC and/or CSU Admission | Percentage of Graduates <br> Who Have Passed Course <br> Requirements <br> For UC and/or CSU Admission |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 340 | 90 | 26.5 |

## SAT I Reasoning Test

Students may voluntarily take the SAT test for college entrance. The test may or may not be available to students at a given school. Students may take the test more than once, but only the highest score is reported at the year of graduation.

|  | School | District | State |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 12 Enrollment | 463 | 459 | 514 | 5632 | 5693 | 5590 | 347813 | 357789 | 365907 |
| Percentage of Grade 12 <br> Enrollment Taking Test | 35 | 39 | 40 | 38 | 40 | 40 | 36 | 37 | 37 |
| Average Verbal Score | 424 | 436 | 422 | 459 | 462 | 453 | 492 | 492 | 490 |
| Average Math Score | 477 | 486 | 475 | 502 | 500 | 494 | 517 | 516 | 516 |

## College Admission Test Preparation Course Program

Andrew Hill currently has two SAT PREP programs-the Kaplan SAT PREP has 48 J uniors enrolled. The UCEAOPO Saturday College also has an SAT PREP has 30 J uniors in the program.

## Degree to Which Students are Prepared to Enter Workforce

The Medical and Health Professions magnet is the most successful in the district. Each year students from within and outside of the district vie for placement in the Magnet Program. Recent expansion of ties with Stanford University have brought onto the site professors and graduate students from the Stanford medical school who provide direct student instruction and staff development and inservicing for Andrew Hill and Sylvandale Middle School staff. Magnet students have on line access to mentors at Stanford and are developing on-line pen pals. Job shadowing opportunities are provided through the Santa Clara Valley Medical Association, Stanford University, and the San J ose Medical Center.
The AVID, Puente, and ROTC programs have provided specialized curricular programs to various underrepresented student groups. Each program has as a goal the preparation of students to achieve and to be prepared to access post high school employment and educational opportunities.
Within recent years, Andrew Hill graduates have enrolled in many prestigious post secondary institutions such as Yale, Harvard, Stanford, Cornell, M.I.T., Vassar, University of California, and Santa Clara University. Andrew Hill is proud of the quality of instruction provided by its caring and professional staff. The administration and staff share a strong commitment to provide opportunities for student success. In partnership with the students, parents, and community, Andrew Hill will continue to restructure itself into a competency based community centered health professions magnet.

## IX. Fiscal and Expenditure Data

Average Salaries (Fiscal Y ear 2000-2001)
Statewide data categories used for comparison are determined by type (Elementary, High, and Unified) and enrollment. The statewide average for principals is aggregated by district. There is no statewide average calculated for Common Administration Districts. (Note: 2001-02 data was not available at this time.)

| Category | District Amount | State Average <br> For Districts <br> In Same Category |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | 38833 | 35124 |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | 62560 | 57212 |


| Highest Teacher Salary | 77200 | 71349 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | 102401 | 99782 |
| Superintendent Salary | 199132 | 138750 |
| Percentage of Budget for Teacher Salaries | 39.01 | 38.23 |
| Percentage of Budget for Administrative Salaries | 5.33 | 5.12 |

Expenditures (Fiscal Year 2000-2001) (Note: 2001-02 data was not available at this time.)

| District | District | State Average <br> For Districts <br> In Same Category | State Average <br> All Districts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Dollars | Dollars per Student <br> (ADA) | Dollars per Student <br> (ADA) | Dollars per Student <br> (ADA) |
| $\$ 173,933,670$ | $\$ 7,385$ | $\$ 6,534$ | $\$ 6,360$ |

Types of Services Funded

```
In 2001-2002, the East Side Union High School District received $200 million. When costs for direct
instruction, transportation, salaries, fringe benefits, food services and facilities maintenance are considered, the district expended \(\$ 8,375\) per student. The graphs below illustrate district income and expenditures.
A variety of integrated instructional programs have been developed within the East Side Union High School District. Through these programs, students can obtain technical training to prepare them to enter the work force or further their education. Included are Integrated Career Programs (ICP 's); Tech Prep; W ork Experience; Central County Occupational Center, which includes Regional Occupational S atellite Programs; New Ways W orkers; Partnership Academies and J ob Placement Center.
Andrew Hill received supplemental state, federal, and private funds to provide assistance to students with special needs through the following programs:
- English Language Learners (ELL)
- Gifted and Talented Education
- Learning Handicapped
- Speech \& Hearing Therapy
- Psychological Testing
- Adaptive Physical Education
- Migrant Education
- Adult Education Classes
- At Risk
- University College Opportunity
- Upward Bound
- MESA Program (Math/Engineering/Science Achievement)
- ROP (Regional Occupational Program) \& CCOC (Central C ounty Occupational Center)
- WEEP (Work Experience Exploratory Program)
- Federal \& State Funded Compensatory Education Programs (Title 1)
- Medical/Health Professions Magnet
```

- Valdes Math Institute
- STYLE Tutoring
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